1	CHRISTINA M. DENNING, ESQ. (CA	Bar No. 211137)
2	denningc@higgslaw.com	, and the second
3	SCOTT J. INGOLD, ESQ. (NV Bar No ingolds@higgslaw.com	. 11818)
4	Higgs Fletcher & Mack LLP	
	401 West "A" Street, Suite 2600	
5	San Diego, CA 92101-7913 T: 619.236.1551	
6	F: 619.696.1410	
7	JAMES F. HOLTZ, ESQ. (NV Bar No.	8119)
8	james.holtz@holtzapc.com	
9	LAW OFFICE OF JAMES F. HOLTZ	
10	1120 Town Center Drive, Suite 200 Las Vegas, NV 89144	
11	T: 702.304.1803	
12	F: 702.304.1822	
13	Attorneys for Plaintiff MARK HUNT	
14		
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
16		
17	MARK HUNT, an individual,	Case No.: 2:17-cv-00085-JAD-CWH
I		
18	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND
18 19	Plaintiff, v.	ORDER RE: EXTENSION OF TIME
,	·	ORDER RE: EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE OPPOSITION TO MOTION
19	v. ZUFFA, LLC d/b/a ULTIMATE FIGHTING CHAMPIONSHIP, a	ORDER RE: EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS (FIRST REQUEST)
19 20 21	v.  ZUFFA, LLC d/b/a ULTIMATE FIGHTING CHAMPIONSHIP, a Nevada limited liability company;	ORDER RE: EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE OPPOSITION TO MOTION
19 20 21 22	v.  ZUFFA, LLC d/b/a ULTIMATE FIGHTING CHAMPIONSHIP, a Nevada limited liability company; BROCK LESNAR, an individual; DANA WHITE, an individual; and	ORDER RE: EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS (FIRST REQUEST)
19 20 21 22 23	v.  ZUFFA, LLC d/b/a ULTIMATE FIGHTING CHAMPIONSHIP, a Nevada limited liability company; BROCK LESNAR, an individual;	ORDER RE: EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS (FIRST REQUEST)
19 20 21 22	v.  ZUFFA, LLC d/b/a ULTIMATE FIGHTING CHAMPIONSHIP, a Nevada limited liability company; BROCK LESNAR, an individual; DANA WHITE, an individual; and	ORDER RE: EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS (FIRST REQUEST)
19 20 21 22 23 24	v.  ZUFFA, LLC d/b/a ULTIMATE FIGHTING CHAMPIONSHIP, a Nevada limited liability company; BROCK LESNAR, an individual; DANA WHITE, an individual; and DOES 1-50, inclusive,	ORDER RE: EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS (FIRST REQUEST)
19 20 21 22 23 24 25	v.  ZUFFA, LLC d/b/a ULTIMATE FIGHTING CHAMPIONSHIP, a Nevada limited liability company; BROCK LESNAR, an individual; DANA WHITE, an individual; and DOES 1-50, inclusive,  Defendants.	ORDER RE: EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS (FIRST REQUEST)

HIGGS FLETCHER & MACK LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN DIEGO

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: EXTENSION TO FILE OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS Case No.: 2:17-ov-00085--JAD-CWH

Pursuant to Local Rules IA 6-1, 6-2, and LR 7-1, Plaintiff MARK HUNT ("Hunt"), and Defendants ZUFFA, LLC d/b/a ULTIMATE FIGHTING CHAMPIONSHIP ("Zuffa") and DANA WHITE ("White") hereby stipulate to extend the deadline for Hunt to file his Opposition to Zuffa and White's Motion to Dismiss [Doc. 71], filed June 26, 2017, from July 10, 2017 until July 17, 2017. This stipulation is based on the following:

Zuffa and White filed their Motion to Dismiss [Doc. 71] on June 26, 2017, following a stipulated extension of time for Zuffa and White to respond to Hunt's First Amended Complaint. Hunt's opposition is presently due July 10, 2017. Hunt, on one hand, and White and Zuffa, on the other, have agreed to extend the date for Hunt to file his opposition one week to July 17, 2017. Hunt submits that good cause exists to extend the date his opposition is due based on the fact that Hunt's lead counsel is out of the office due to a pre-planned vacation, and will not return until after the date Hunt's opposition is due. Hunt's secondary counsel recently returned from a pre-planned vacation, and will be in a deposition that was scheduled several weeks ago on the date the opposition is due. The one-week extension will ensure that Hunt is able to prepare a meaningful and adequate response to White and Zuffa's Motion to Dismiss. Moreover, no hearing date has been set for the Defendants' Motion to Dismiss. This is the first stipulation seeking to extend the deadline for Hunt's opposition to Zuffa and White's Motion to Dismiss.

Based on the foregoing, the parties hereby stipulate and request that the Court issue an Order extending the deadline for Hunt to file an opposition to Zuffa and White's Motion to Dismiss until July 17, 2017.

```
///
```

///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

///

112567-00001

8046436.1

28

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
2		
3	DATED: July 6, 2017	HIGGS FLETCHER & MACK LLP
4	<b>!</b>	/s/ Scott J. Ingold
5	5	By:CHRISTINA M. DENNING, ESQ.
6	5	SCOTT J. INGOLD, ESQ.
7	7	Attorneys for Plaintiff MARK HUNT
8	BATED, July 6, 2017	CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS
9	DATED: July 6, 2017	CAMPBELL & WILLIAMS
10		s/ J. Colby Williams By:
11		J. COLBY WILLIAMS, ESQ.
12		PHILIP R. ERWIN, ESQ. Attorneys for Defendants ZUFFA, LLC
13	3	d/b/a ULTIMATE FIGHTING
14	1	CHAMPIONSHIP and DANA WHITE
	ORDER	
15		DER
	ORD	DER
15	ORD  Based on the stipulation between plaint	iff and defendants Zuffa, LLC and Dana White
15 16	Based on the stipulation between plaint [ECF No. 80], which I treat as a joint motion up	iff and defendants Zuffa, LLC and Dana White nder LR 7-1(c), <b>IT IS SO ORDERED.</b>
15 16 17	Based on the stipulation between plaint [ECF No. 80], which I treat as a joint motion up	iff and defendants Zuffa, LLC and Dana White nder LR 7-1(c), <b>IT IS SO ORDERED.</b>
15 16 17 18	Based on the stipulation between plaint [ECF No. 80], which I treat as a joint motion up of the stipulation between plaint [ECF No. 80].	iff and defendants Zuffa, LLC and Dana White nder LR 7-1(c), <b>IT IS SO ORDERED.</b>
15 16 17 18 19	Based on the stipulation between plaint [ECF No. 80], which I treat as a joint motion up Ju	iff and defendants Zuffa, LLC and Dana White nder LR 7-1(c), <b>IT IS SO ORDERED.</b> S. District Judge Jenn fer Dorsey
15 16 17 18 19 20	Based on the stipulation between plaint [ECF No. 80], which I treat as a joint motion up U. Ju.	iff and defendants Zuffa, LLC and Dana White nder LR 7-1(c), <b>IT IS SO ORDERED.</b> S. District Judge Jenn fer Dorsey
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Based on the stipulation between plaint [ECF No. 80], which I treat as a joint motion up U. Ju	iff and defendants Zuffa, LLC and Dana White nder LR 7-1(c), <b>IT IS SO ORDERED.</b> S. District Judge Jenn fer Dorsey
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Based on the stipulation between plaint [ECF No. 80], which I treat as a joint motion up July July July July July July July July	iff and defendants Zuffa, LLC and Dana White nder LR 7-1(c), <b>IT IS SO ORDERED.</b> S. District Judge Jenn fer Dorsey
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Based on the stipulation between plaint [ECF No. 80], which I treat as a joint motion up Ju.  Ju.  Ju.	iff and defendants Zuffa, LLC and Dana White nder LR 7-1(c), <b>IT IS SO ORDERED.</b> S. District Judge Jenn fer Dorsey
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Based on the stipulation between plaint [ECF No. 80], which I treat as a joint motion up July 10.	iff and defendants Zuffa, LLC and Dana White nder LR 7-1(c), <b>IT IS SO ORDERED.</b> S. District Judge Jenn fer Dorsey
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Based on the stipulation between plaint [ECF No. 80], which I treat as a joint motion up July 10.	iff and defendants Zuffa, LLC and Dana White nder LR 7-1(c), <b>IT IS SO ORDERED.</b> S. District Judge Jenn fer Dorsey

112567-00001 8046436.1